PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

.	ATENT COOPE	RATION TREA	ATY	REC'D 13 FEB	2006
rom the NTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHOR	TTY			REC'D 13 FLD	PCT
To: MICHAEL VERGA JAGTIANI + GUTTAG 10363 -A DEMOCRACY LANE FAIRFAX, VA 22030		WRI INTERNATIO	PCT ITTEN OPINION INAL SEARCHI	WIPO I OF THE NG AUTHORITY	POI
			(PCT Rule 43bis	s.1)	
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	08 FE	B 2006	
Applicant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below			
COCH0153PCT	international filing date	(d-v/month/nogn)	Priority date (day/m	onth/vear)	
International application No.	nternational ming date	(aay/monin/year)	• , .		
	15 June 2005 (15.06.200		15 June 2004 (15.06	5.2004)	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or	both national classificat	non and if C			
IPC(7): A61N 1/18 and US Cl.: 607/57					
Applicant					
COCHLEAR AMERICAS					
1. This opinion contains indications relati	ing to the following item	ns:			
Box No. I Basis of the c	Box No. I Basis of the opinion				
Box No. II Priority	Box No. II Priority				
Box No. III Non-establish	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
Box No. IV Lack of unity	Lack of unity of invention				
Box No. V Reasoned sta applicability;	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability, citations and explanations supporting such statement				
Box No. VI Certain docu	Certain documents cited				
Box No. VII Certain defec	Certain defects in the international application				
Box No. VIII Certain obse	Certain observations on the international application				
2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary Examining Authority other than this one to be the	, Authority ("IPEA") (except that this does	s not apply where t	ne applicant chooses	au
that written opinions of this Internation	onal Searching Authority	y will not be so consid	lered.	·	
If this opinion is, as provided above IPEA a written reply together, where of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the e	appropriate, with amer	adments, before the ex	epiration of 3 months	s from the date of mani-	he ng
For further options, see Form PCT/IS	A/220.				
3. For further details, see notes to Form	PCT/ISA/220.		\mathcal{A}	\bigcirc	
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US	Date of comp	letion of this opinion	Authorized officer		
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US	i	· 2005 (12.12.2005)	Jeffrey R. Jastrzał	,	
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450	12 December	2003 (12.12.2003)			
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450			Telephone No. (5	71) 272-2000	
Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201 Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 200	05)				
TOTALL CIVEDIA 521 (COACT SHEET) (White 50)	,)			

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US05/21207

Box No	o. I Basis of this opinion
1. With	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
\boxtimes	the international application in the language in which it was filed
	a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).
2. With inven	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed ation, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a.	type of material
	a sequence listing
	table(s) related to the sequence listing
b.	format of material
	on paper
	in electronic form
c.	time of filing/furnishing
	contained in the international application as filed.
	filed together with the international application in electronic form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Add	litional comments:
Form P	CT/ISA/237(Box No. I) (April 2005)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US05/21207

	Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial
Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 45 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to noverty, my same and the same and th
	ve a ve de de la company de la
	applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement Claims 1-7 and 11-15 YES Novelty (N) NO Claims 8-10 Claims NONE YES Inventive step (IS) NO Claims 1-15______ YES Claims 1-15 Industrial applicability (IA) NO Claims NONE

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 8-10 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Nygard et al. The detection of maximum gradient of the EAP and minimum artifact amounts to detection of plural features.

Claims 1-7 and 11-15 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Carter et al. in view of Nygard et al. Carter discloses the invention substantially as claimed including incremental stimulation to determine the NRT threshold, however plural features are not detected in the response signal. Nygard et al. teach that the sensed EAP includes a stimulus artifact and thus seeks to find the maximum EAP along with the minimum artifact (plural features). It would have been obvious to have incorporated the Nygard et al. teachings into the Carter device in order to optimize signal detection by removing noise generated by the stimulation signal.

Claims 1-15 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.